Third District Court of Appeal

State of Florida, January Term, A.D. 2010

Opinion filed February 10, 2010. Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing.

> No. 3D09-3082 Lower Tribunal No. 07-1174

Lower Keys Medical Center, Petitioner,

VS.

Jennifer Windisch, et al., Respondents.

On petition for Writ of Certiorari to the Circuit Court for Monroe County, Mark H. Jones, Judge.

Wicker, Smith, O'Hara, McCoy & Ford, P.A. and Michael R. D'Lugo (Key West), for petitioner.

Ratzan & Rubio, P.A. and Stuart N. Ratzan; Falk, Waas, Hernandez, Cortina, Solomon & Bonner, P.A. and Scott Mendlestein; Lubell & Rosen, P.A. and Julie M. Ingle; and Restani, Dittmar & Hauser, P.A. and Patricia I. Murray, for respondents.

Before WELLS and SHEPHERD, JJ., and SCHWARTZ, Senior Judge.

SCHWARTZ, Senior Judge.

The petitioner's position in this case is directly contrary to Florida Hospital Waterman, Inc. v. Buster, 984 So. 2d 478 (Fla. 2008), and each of the other District Courts of Appeal. See West Fla. Reg'l Med. Ctr., Inc. v. See, 18 So. 3d 676) (Fla. 1st DCA 2009); Lakeland Reg'l Med. Ctr. v. Neely, 8 So. 3d 1268 (Fla. 2d DCA 2009); Columbia Hosp. Corp. of S. Broward v. Fain, 16 So. 3d 236 (Fla. 4th DCA 2009); Fla. Eye Clinic, P.A. v. Gmach, 14 So. 3d 1044 (Fla. 5th DCA 2009).

Even if we were inclined, which we are not, we do not have the authority to rule otherwise. Hoffman v. Jones, 280 So. 2d 431 (Fla. 1973). The petitioner's suggestion that we might do so is insulting and frivolous.

Certiorari denied.

Raizan & Rubio, PA
Detect Recrot: 2/12/10

CONFIDENTIAL APPELLATE JUDGE FEEDBACK FORM

	Judge Court
ho he	he attorney: This form will enable you to provide useful information to the judges before m you just appeared. Our judges rely on your feedback. Please make constructive comments, ther positive or negative. Do NOT provide your name or otherwise identify yourself on this a. There are a total of 4 appellate sections.
nsi	tructions:
•	If you wish to fill out a feedback form for more than one judge on the panel, duplicate this form.
	Complete a form for each judge you wish to evaluate. Please type or write legibly.
•	Place each completed form in a plain envelope marked 'judicial feedback' and 'confidential.' Place the name of the judge on the face of the envelope. IMPORTANT: Do not enclose the feedback form of more than one judge in the same envelope.
•	Place the plain envelope(s) containing the feedback form(s) in a transmittal envelope and mail to the clerk of the district court of appeal on which the judge is serving.
	QUESTIONING
	Were the judge's questions clear?
) Yes
) No (Please explain):
) No opinion/not applicable
	•
•	Did the judge use an appropriate or excessive amount of time in questioning counsel or in asking an individual question?
) Yes
) No (Please explain):
) No opinion/not applicable
	Comments:

Yes (Pl	ease explain):
No	
No opii	nion/not applicable
Other c	omments regarding questioning:
<u>PROFE</u>	SSIONAL CONDUCT
As to th	e judge's judicial demeanor, did the judge exhibit professionalism
Yes	
No (Ple	ease explain):
Did the	nion/not applicable judge use appropriate language?
Did the	
Did the Yes No (Pl	judge use appropriate language?
Did the Yes No (Plo	e judge use appropriate language? ease explain):
Did the Yes No (Plant) No opi Was the	e judge use appropriate language? ease explain): nion/not applicable e judge attentive to counsel?
Did the Yes No (Plant) No opi Was the	ease explain):nion/not applicable
Did the Yes No (Ple No opi Was the Yes No (Pl	e judge use appropriate language? ease explain): nion/not applicable e judge attentive to counsel?
Did the Yes No (Plant) No opi Was the No (Plant) No opi	e judge use appropriate language? ease explain): nion/not applicable e judge attentive to counsel? ease explain):
Did the) Yes) No (Ploton) Was the) Yes) No (Ploton) No opi	ease explain): nion/not applicable e judge attentive to counsel? ease explain): nion/not applicable nion/not applicable

	KNOWLEDGE OF THE CASE
D.	Did the judge show evidence of having prepared in advance for oral argument?
) Yes
) No (Please explain):
) No opinion/not applicable
•	Was the judge knowledgeable regarding the facts and the law?
) Yes
) No (Please explain):
) No opinion/not applicable
•	Was the judge familiar with applicable cases and statutes?
) Yes
) No (Please explain):
	/ 110 (1 rease capitali).
) No opinion/not applicable
	Other comments regarding knowledge of the case:
	THE OPINION
	Was the Opinion rendered in a timely manner? (For guidance, see Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.250(a)-(b).)
) Yes
) No (Please explain):

15.	Was the opinion clearly written?
() Yes
() No (Please explain):
() No opinion/not applicable
16.	Did the opinion take into account applicable cases and statutes?
() Yes
() No (Please explain):
() No opinion/not applicable
17.	Did the opinion provide clear precedential guidance for later cases?
() Yes
() No (Please explain):
() No opinion/not applicable
18.	If there was a concurring or dissenting opinion, did the majority opinion fairly deal with the issues raised by the concurrence or dissent?
() Yes
() No (Please explain):
() No opinion/not applicable
19.	Other comments regarding the opinion:

Upon completion and transmittal by evaluating counsel, this judicial feedback form shall be the property of the evaluated judge and shall be a confidential document within the meaning of Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420~(c)(4).